

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/269,711 04/05/1999		04/05/1999	TAKESHI SAKAI	1/F3511PTUS	1469
513	7590	03/19/2002			
		ID & PONAC	EXAMINER		
2033 K STR			WANG, SHENGJUN		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617		
				DATE MAILED: 03/19/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)				
	•	09/269,711	SAKAI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
		Shengjun Wang	1617				
	The MAILING DATE of this communicati n app		e c rrespondence address				
Period fo	· ·						
THE I - External exte	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e. cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	<u>December 2001</u> .					
2a)⊠	<u> </u>	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
•	ion of Claims						
=	Claim(s) 40-47 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>40-47</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
•	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		- () ()				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
*	3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
	a) The translation of the foreign language processes The translation of the foreign language processes. The translation is made of a claim for domestic translation in the foreign language.						
Attachme							
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

. \.

Application/Control Number: 09/269,711

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of applicants' amendments and remarks submitted December 28, 2001 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 2. Claims 40-43 and 45-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Winget (US Patent No. 5,620,962, of record) for reasons set forth in the prior office action.
- 3. Claims 40 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yazawa et al. (of record) or Nojima et al. (JP 60-19716, IDS, AA) for reasons set forth in the prior office action.

Claims Rejections 35 U.S.C. 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 40-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over both Winget (US Patent No. 5,620,962) and Yazawa et al. (IDS, AB), Nojima et al. (JP 60-19716,

IDS, AA) in view of Nakai et al. (US Patent 5,672,603), and Nelson ("Isolation and Purification of lipids from Biological Matrices," in Analysis of Fats, Oil and lipoproteins, Edited by Edward G. Perkins, 1993) set forth in the prior office action.

Applicants' amendments and remarks submitted December 28, 2001 have been fully considered, they are persuasive to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, but are not persuasive for rejection uder 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103 for reasons discussed below.

Applicants assert that the examiner is misapplying the principle of inherency under U.S. practice, state that a method of use for a known compound may be patentable, citing MPEP 2112 and Ex parte Wagner, 88 U.S.P.Q. 217. The examiner agree that new and unobvious use of old compounds may be patentable. However, the instant application is in a different situation. Note MPEP 2112 further states "when claim recites using an old composition or structure and the 'use' is directed to a results or property of that composition or structure, then the claim is anticipated." The claimed invention use the *same* old compound (glycerolipid and/or glyceroglycolipid) in an *exact same* procedure (administering the compound to an individual). The difference is that the claimed invention is directed to a results or property (inducing apoptosis). The claimed invention is therefore clearly anticipated by the references.

Applicants assert that it is unobvious for using the glycerolipid and/or glyceroglycolipid for inducing apoptosis because Yazawa and Nojima teach the compounds have no cytotocicity, and therefore do not expressly teaches the apoptosis mechanism. Applicants improperly interpret 'no cytotoxicity' as no apoptosis (self programmed death). The examiner thinks it opposite.

Note, as stated by Nakai et al., there are only two type mechanism are involved in cell death:

necrosis and apoptosis. (column 1, lines 36-67). Glycerolipid and/or glyceroglycolipid are known

Art Unit: 1617

least some cancer cells. Since glycerolipid and/or glyceroglycolipid have no cytotoxicity and are known of "host-mediated activation of the immune system", they would not cause the death of cancer cell through necrosis. The only possible way they make cancer cell death is through the other mechanism, i.e., apoptosis. The detailed mechanism may be different, but they do induce apoptosis. Therefore, cited prior arts have provided reasonable suggestion that glycerolipid and/or glyceroglycolipid induce apoptosis, particularly in treating cancer.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-4554. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minna Moezie, J.D., can be reached on (703) 308-4612. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Shengjun Wang

AU 1617

March 15, 2002